## Case 5:06-cr-00359-RMW Document 4 Filed 04/20/06 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

V.		Case Number <u>(R-06-70241</u> PV7 ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Francisce	2 Condense Rodrigues, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION FENDING TRIAL
	ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(	f) a detention hearing was hold as ///a.
Defendant w	vas present, represented by his attorney L. Vunna	t), a detention hearing was held on 4/28, 200
Assistant U.S	S. Attorney S. Knight	. The Officed States was represented by
PART I. PRE	SUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
	The defendant is charged with an offense described in	19119 ( \$2142(\$(1) - 14 - 1 5 )
convicted of	a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) whi	lo on release and the defendant has been
offense, and	a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since	the off release pending trial for a federal, state or local
imprisonmen	t, whichever is later.	the date of conviction of the release of the person from
	establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition of	or combination of an distance II
safety of any	other person and the community.	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
		a facta formal in Day 17/1 1
defendant has	There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the committed an offense	e racts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
A.		10 record on more in according to 21 H. G. C. a. c.
• ••	seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
B.	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during	ng the commission of a falance
	establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition o	or combination of conditions will
appearance of	the defendant as required and the safety of the commun	of combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
/ / N	To presumption applies.	iity.
	UTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	APR 20 2000
/ / T	he defendant has not come forward with any evidence t	o rebut the applicable programme: Clapping the state of
will be ordered	d detained.	o result the applicable presulting of this visible therefore
/ · / T	he defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut t	he applicable presumption[s] to write
		are applicable presumption[s] to wit.
Thus,	the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	•
PART III. PRO	OOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICA	ABLE)
/ <b>X</b> T	he United States has proved to a preponderance of the e	vidence that no condition or combination of conditions
vill reasonably	assure the appearance of the defendant as required, Al	ND/OR
/ / Th	ne United States has proved by clear and convincing evi	idence that no condition or combination of conditions
ill reasonably	assure the safety of any other person and the communi	ty.
ART IV. WRI	TTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASON	IS FOR DETENTION
/ <b>X</b> / Th	ne Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18	U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
hearing and f	finds as follows: The defendant is than	redrute a violation of 1 450 8 (320
		and seneral misderneanor line
for int	lesting enjury leakery on fort	ner Spense, chabitant. He abso
has a 4	clony consection twomm Via	lations. His orminal hinter
rloo in	Licaxes sulistance aluse of	raplements
/ / Def	endant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived writte	n findings.
	CTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
ART V. DIREC		
The defend	lant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting	l or his designated representative for confinement in a

of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver

the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge